

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/625,086	07/23/2003	Jeffrey A. Lee	2159-A (FJ-99-39A)	5940
40256 7.	590 06/21/2005	•	EXAMINER	
FERRELLS,	PLLC			
P. O. BOX 312 CLIFTON, VA 20124-1706		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Applicant(s) Application No. LEE, JEFFREY A. Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief 10/625,086 (37 CFR 41.37) Examiner Art Unit Steve Alvo 1731 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 04 May 2005 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. 🛛	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed or confirmed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. 🖾	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6. 🛚	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. 🗵	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10.🖂	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
	See Continuation Sheet.

Steve Alvo Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1731

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-462)

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): The evidence presented by Applicant should be item (ix). This includes the statement setting forth where in the record the evidence was entered by the Examiner (e.g.this should not be item VA as set foth in the Brief).

Appellant continues to lump together the differennt rejections under the arguments (item vii), instead of having a separate heading for each argument. For Example, under item (vii), Applicant does not have a separate heading for the 102 and ao3 rejections over WO 97/45583. These rejections are not separately argued.

The Final Rejection was supplied as part of the Brief. This is improper, was this supposed to be the final of parent Application, now Patent 6,627,041. If this was what was intended this should be item (x).